



Miss Reynolds  
5 Maye Mills  
St Leonards  
on Sea

London 29<sup>th</sup> Jan'y. 1842

My dear Isabella

I have not heard from you for some time, but have been sorry to hear by letters received at Highbury that you do not regain your strength - I fear the season has been very much against invalids. My object in now addressing you, is to call your attention to my letter of 22<sup>nd</sup> October last, wherein I mention the amount of stock then standing in your name - this you have never acknowledged, & it was only on going to the bank yesterday to receive your dividend on Consols, that I discovered I had made an error in <sup>stating to</sup> ~~telling~~ you the amount of "Consols" as standing in your name - I did not take into account the £500 sold out & brought into Miss Collier's name in 3½% Cents, so that the amount of your Consols is £8700 instead of £9200 as stated in my letter of 22<sup>nd</sup> October - You must be aware how necessary it is to have all matters of business as clear as possible, I therefore hope you will look into these matters, & let me

know if you find the statement at foot  
correct - If you do not feel equal to the  
exertion of writing, perhaps Miss Cockin  
will be so kind as to pen a few lines  
which you can sign - I have received  
the  $\frac{1}{2}$  years dividend on £8700 Consols  
£130.10/- which is placed at your credit -  
I have not heard from Mr. Grangeston  
since he acknowledged receipt of the  
probate of the Will -

How did you fare during the late  
gale - the accounts from the Irish &  
Welsh coasts are tremendous -  
all at Hightbury are very well, except  
Mary who was suffering yesterday from  
a severe sick headache, & will join us  
soon. Give my love to yourself & Miss Cockin  
I remain My dear Isabella

Your affectionate Cousin  
Arthur N Young

Whenever you write on business, it is better  
not to put the letter in a cover, but fold it  
so that it may bear the Post mark -

Amount of Stock Standing in names of  
Isabella Reynolds & Eliza Reynolds

1 Sept. 1841

1 Sept 1841

3¢ Cents Convolvulus - 3¢ C. reduced - Convoluta reduced

~~\$4800~~      ~~\$2500~~      ~~\$4700~~      ~~\$2700~~

Transfer from Elija Kinn	3900	2600	27 Sep sold } - 300      22 Oct do for missed station } 500 Transfer 3900	100 sold }
				62600

8400

18700

27 Sep } - 300 22 Oct } 100  
sold } sold }

\$8700      \$5700 Standing in name of  
Isabella Reynolds at this date  
No. 2 1872

29 Jan 1842

W.W.

WINGTON,  
JU 26  
1842



Wellington - Salop.

Mrs Mrs Chastens Middle

Sous

Newport.

Wellington 25<sup>th</sup> June 1819

Dear Sir

Your favor addressed to me  
at Hifford has duly just arrived  
Whence my dear Mr. Stodger is now  
in a fit state ~~to~~ to attend to any  
business and is now engaged to  
Envill, I have written to make  
the inquiry about Phane's debts  
but I think no Inquiry has been  
made or I should have known  
of it. Your due has passed through my  
hands

Yours truly

C. W. Rotinse



Mr Reever Esq.  
6 Lyons Inn.  
Strand.

London



18 High Street, Ramsgate  
30 Sept 1862

Dear Sir;

On the other side I send you Copy of a letter which I have received from the Round of the Office of Woods &c respecting the Property Tax on the sums for farm Rents -

Judging from the form of the Returns circulated by the Tax Office, I should have thought that such Rents, not amounting to £5 per annum should be exempt from deduction, and that for the purposes of the Tax Rents of £5 per annum are not to be taxed as such unless they amount to that sum after deduction of Land Tax.

You however are probably well aware of the practice in regard to the sums for farm Rents prior to 1866, and you may also have received some specific instructions from the Office of Woods &c on the subject, sufficient to enable you to proceed with the collection of the Rent due at Musters next in such a manner as to secure their approbation - But in the mean time I have to request

that

that you will not make any allowances not warranted by  
the Round's letter -

It appears to be the intention of the Commissioners  
that no deduction should be made from the Pennies payable  
by the Crown, but that the Tax is to be levied from the  
parties to whom those pennies are paid - and for this  
purpose I am required to furnish a list of the names  
<sup>of the persons before they are paid</sup>  
and <sup>the amount to each</sup> renderers, and <sup>the amount to each</sup> - And I have to request that  
I may be supplied with such a list immediately after the  
31<sup>st</sup> March next

I am Dear Sir,  
Yours faithfully  
Rich Rotton.

Wm Reever Esq:   
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Copy

Mr:

Office of Wood &c.

23 Septt 1862

It having been represented to the Commissioners for Assessing the Property and Income Tax for this Department that no deduction was allowed upon Rents being left 20/ from under the former Property Tax acts which contained the same directions for assessing the Rents as are contained in the act now in force:-

I am to desire you will allow none from such Rents under the present act unless you shall receive hereafter authority to do so -

I am to  
Signed. W.H. Round.

Arch Rotch Jr